



Geometry & Topology

Volume 28 (2024)

Stability of tori under lower sectional curvature

ELIA BRUÈ
AARON NABER
DANIELE SEMOLA

Stability of tori under lower sectional curvature

ELIA BRUÈ
AARON NABER
DANIELE SEMOLA

Let $(M_i^n, g_i) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} (X, d_X)$ be a Gromov–Hausdorff converging sequence of Riemannian manifolds with $\text{Sec}_{g_i} \geq -1$, $\text{diam}(M_i) \leq D$, and such that the M_i^n are all homeomorphic to tori T^n . Then X is homeomorphic to a k -dimensional torus T^k for some $0 \leq k \leq n$. This answers a question of Petrunin in the affirmative. We show this result is false if the M_i^n are homeomorphic to tori, but are only assumed to be Alexandrov spaces. When $n = 3$, we prove the same toric stability under the weaker condition $\text{Ric}_{g_i} \geq -2$.

53C21

1 Introduction

The study of collapsing Riemannian manifolds under different curvature constraints is a subject of profound interest (see Cheeger and Gromov [4; 5], Cheeger, Fukaya, and Gromov [3], Fukaya [11; 14; 12; 13], Gromov [16], and Yamaguchi [39]) in geometric analysis.

We focus our attention on sequences of manifolds (M_i^n, g_i) with uniform lower bounds on the sectional curvature and diameter bounds:

$$(1-1) \quad \text{Sec}_{g_i} \geq -1, \quad \text{diam}(M_i) \leq D.$$

In this setting, Gromov–Hausdorff limits $(M_i^n, g_i) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} (X^k, d)$ are Alexandrov spaces of dimension $0 \leq k \leq n$, curvature ≥ -1 , and $\text{diam}(X) \leq D$. It is also well known that in many situations the topology of the limit space (X^k, d) is closely tied to the topology of the approximating manifolds M_i^n .

In the noncollapsing setting, ie when $k = n$, Perelman proved that X is homeomorphic to M_i for i large enough [29]. When $k < n$ and X^k is a smooth Riemannian manifold without boundary, Yamaguchi [39] proved for large i that M_i^n is the total space of a locally trivial fiber bundle with base space X^k .

However, the topology of collapsing sequences is not fully understood when the limits are singular or admit boundary points, even though some general local restrictions have been obtained by Kapovitch [23]. Our main result establishes that when the M_i^n all are homeomorphic to tori T^n , then the limit must be homeomorphic to a torus, regardless of any additional assumption on its regularity:

Theorem 1.1 Let $(M_i^n, g_i) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} (X^k, d_X)$ satisfy $\text{Sec}_{g_i} \geq -1$ and $\text{diam}(M_i) \leq D$, and assume the M_i^n are all homeomorphic to tori T^n . Then X^k is homeomorphic to the k -dimensional torus T^k for some $0 \leq k \leq n$.

We can extend or provide counterexamples to extensions of the above in various contexts. If we just assume that (M_i^n, d_i) are all Alexandrov spaces homeomorphic to T^n with $\text{curv} \geq -1$ and $\text{diam}(M_i) \leq D$, then for $n \leq 4$ we can still prove that X^k is homeomorphic to T^k and we fully recover the conclusion of [Theorem 1.1](#). For $n \geq 5$ the situation becomes more subtle. We can show in this case that X^k is at least homotopic to a torus T^k , and an example suggested to the authors by Vitali Kapovitch shows that this is sharp. In [Section 3.6](#) we will show all of this, and in particular provide an example of Alexandrov spaces $M_i^5 \rightarrow X^4$ where the M_j^5 are all homeomorphic to tori but the limit X^4 is not.

The framework presented in [Theorem 1.1](#) has garnered recent attention, as Zamora [\[40\]](#) studied sequences of tori (M_i^n, g_i) that satisfy (1-1) and demonstrated that they cannot converge to an interval $[0, L]$, thus covering the case when n is arbitrary and $k = 1$ in our main result. The very same result had been previously established by Katz [\[25\]](#), with a more elementary argument, under the additional assumption $n = 2$. We also remark that the case when $n = 3$ in our main result could be handled with the general theory of collapse under a sectional curvature lower bound in dimension 3, as developed by Shioya and Yamaguchi [\[36\]](#).¹ Furthermore, in the same paper, Zamora mentions the conjecture, due to Petrunin (see also Zamora [\[41\]](#)), that any limit in this setting must necessarily be homeomorphic to a torus. Our result, as presented in [Theorem 1.1](#), confirms this conjecture.

Let us briefly comment on the interplay between the topological assumption $M_i^n \cong T^n$ and the metric assumption (1-1) in [Theorem 1.1](#).

First, if we strengthen the sectional curvature condition to $\text{Sec}_{g_i} \geq 0$, a rigidity phenomenon occurs: any torus in the sequence must be flat. For this rigidity it is actually enough to assume $\text{Scal}_{g_i} \geq 0$, as proven first by Schoen and Yau when $n \leq 7$ [\[35\]](#), and then in general dimensions by Gromov and Lawson [\[18\]](#). A Gromov–Hausdorff limit of a sequence of flat tori must be a flat torus, by Mahler’s compactness theorem [\[28\]](#). When nonnegative sectional is weakened to almost nonnegative Ricci curvature, then “almost rigidity” occurs and the universal cover is almost Euclidean; see Colding [\[6\]](#).

However, under the general lower curvature bound condition (1-1), we do not encounter this rigidity. This, in fact, constitutes the primary novel aspect of our theorem: the interaction between the topological assumption $M_i^n \cong T^n$ and the lower bound on the sectional curvature still controls the topology of limits, even though we are out of the rigidity regime.

In essence, this is possible because $M_i^n \cong T^n$ implies uniform volume noncollapsing of the universal covers $(\tilde{M}_i^n, \tilde{g}_i)$. Consequently, by selecting a suitable intermediate covering, we can apply Perelman’s stability theorem, which ensures a uniform control over the topology of the universal covers. Then, a careful argument is needed to gain uniform control on the action of $\pi_1(M_i)$ on $\tilde{M}_i \cong \mathbb{R}^n$. The application

¹See the discussion in <https://mathoverflow.net/q/236001>.

of Perelman's stability theorem mentioned above (and of a subsequent refinement due to Kapovitch [22]), are the main steps where the lower sectional curvature bound plays a key role. We believe that the remaining parts of the argument could be adapted to the case of lower Ricci curvature bounds.

We finally pose an open question about sequences of tori satisfying a lower bound on the Ricci curvature:

$$(1-2) \quad \text{Ric}_{g_i} \geq -(n-1), \quad \text{diam}(M_i) \leq D.$$

Question 1.2 Let $(M_i^n, g_i) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} (X^k, d_X)$ satisfy (1-2), where k is the rectifiable dimension of X . Assume that M_i^n are all homeomorphic to tori T^n . Is X^k homeomorphic to T^k ?

The answer to the above question is affirmative when $n = 3$:

Theorem 1.3 Let $(M_i^3, g_i) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} (X^k, d_X)$ satisfy $\text{Ric}_{g_i} \geq -2$ and $\text{diam}(M_i) \leq D$, and assume the M_i^3 are all homeomorphic to tori T^3 . Then X^k is homeomorphic to the k -dimensional torus T^k for some $0 \leq k \leq 3$.

To prove Theorem 1.3, we can follow the same approach as in Theorem 1.1 with two major modifications. First, we substitute the application of Perelman's stability theorem with the topological stability results presented by Simon [37]. Second, instead of utilizing Proposition 2.7, we employ general results about Lie group actions of homeomorphisms on topological 3-manifolds by Bredon [1]. See Section 3.5 for more details.

Acknowledgments Bruè would like to express gratitude for the financial support received from Bocconi University. Semola is supported by FIM-ETH through a Hermann Weyl Instructorship.

The authors are grateful to Sergio Zamora and to the reviewer for carefully reading the note and for useful comments. They are thankful to Vitali Kapovitch for suggesting the example discussed in Section 3.6.

2 Preliminary results

2.1 Noncollapsing of aspherical manifolds

The noncollapsing statement below follows from the combination of (E_4) in [17, Appendix 1] and [17, Theorem 4.5.D']. Notice indeed that the universal cover of a Riemannian torus is geometrically contractible, as observed at the beginning of [17, Section 4.5.D].

Theorem 2.1 (noncollapsing of aspherical manifolds [17]) *If (M^n, g) is homeomorphic to a closed aspherical manifold and satisfies $\text{Ric}_g \geq -(n-1)$ and $\text{diam}(X) \leq D$, then*

$$(2-1) \quad \text{Vol}_g(B_1(\tilde{p})) \geq c(n, D) \quad \text{for any } \tilde{p} \in \tilde{M},$$

where \tilde{M} is the universal cover of M .

Remark 2.2 We also refer the reader to [19], where the lower Ricci assumption was dropped at the expense of a weaker volume conclusion.

2.2 Lattice covers of Riemannian tori

Definition 2.3 (ℓ^1 word norm) Let (M^n, g) be homeomorphic to T^n , and let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ be a family of generators of $\Gamma \equiv \pi_1(M)$. We define the associated ℓ^1 norm on Γ by

$$(2-2) \quad \|\gamma\|_1 := \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|,$$

where $\gamma = \gamma_1^{\alpha_1} \circ \dots \circ \gamma_n^{\alpha_n}$.

Theorem 2.4 (uniform lattice coverings [26, Theorem 4.2]) Let (M^n, g) be homeomorphic to T^n with $\text{diam}(M) \leq D$. Let (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) be the universal cover where $\Gamma \equiv \pi_1(M)$ acts by isometries. Then there exists $\Lambda \leq \Gamma$ with $\Lambda \equiv \mathbb{Z}^n$ and

- (i) $\text{diam}(\tilde{M}/\Lambda) \leq 6^n D$,
- (ii) there exists a family of generators $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}$ of Λ such that

$$(2-3) \quad A(n)^{-1} D \|\gamma\|_1 \leq d_{\tilde{M}}(x, \gamma(x)) \leq A(n) D \|\gamma\|_1 \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Lambda \text{ and } x \in \tilde{M}.$$

2.3 Lie group actions on Euclidean space and tori

The statement below is a consequence of Newman's theorem. We refer to [2, Chapter III, Section 9] for a thorough discussion.

Theorem 2.5 Let G be a compact Lie group (not necessarily connected). Assume that G acts effectively by homeomorphisms on \mathbb{R}^n . Then either $G = \{e\}$ is trivial or the orbits of G cannot be uniformly bounded with respect to the Euclidean distance.

The following two statements, originally due to [7; 8], are stated as in [2, Chapter IV, Section 9].

Theorem 2.6 [7; 8] Let G be a compact connected Lie group acting effectively by homeomorphisms on a torus T^n . Then G is a torus and the action is free. Moreover, the projection to the orbit space is a trivial principal G -fibration.

2.4 Free actions on noncollapsed limits

It is a well-known result in Riemannian geometry that quotients with respect to free isometric group actions of smooth Riemannian manifolds are (smooth Riemannian) manifolds. For the proof of our main result, it is important to have a partial generalization of this statement for noncollapsed Gromov–Hausdorff limits of manifolds with sectional curvature uniformly bounded from below.

We recall that an Alexandrov space (X, d_X) of dimension n is said to be smoothable if it can be presented as a (noncollapsed) Gromov–Hausdorff limit of a sequence (M_i^n, g_i) of smooth Riemannian manifolds with sectional curvature uniformly bounded from below; see for instance [27]. We will say that an Alexandrov space (X, d_X) is locally smoothable if it is locally isometric to a smoothable Alexandrov space.

Proposition 2.7 *Let (X, d_X) be a locally smoothable Alexandrov space. If G is a compact connected Lie group acting freely by isometries on (X, d_X) , then $(X/G, d_{X/G})$ is a topological manifold.*

Proof The proof relies on the slicing theorem for Alexandrov spaces from [20], and on the characterization of spaces of directions for noncollapsed smooth limits with lower sectional curvature bounds [22].

Let $x \in X$ and let us denote by $\Sigma_x X$ the space of directions at x . In particular the tangent cone at x is given by the cone space $C(\Sigma_x)$. Let $S_x \subset \Sigma_x X$ be the space of unit directions tangent to the orbit $G \cdot x$ of x , and let $\nu_x \equiv \nu(S_x) \subset \Sigma_x X$ be the space of unit directions normal to S_x . Namely,

$$(2-4) \quad \nu_x := \left\{ v \in \Sigma_x X : d_{\Sigma_x X}(v, w) = \frac{1}{2} \text{diam}(\Sigma_x X) \text{ for any } w \in S_x \right\}.$$

Let $k = \dim G$. By [15], S_x is isometric to a standard $(k-1)$ -sphere. Moreover, the space of directions at x is isometric to the join of S_x with its normal space, namely $\Sigma_x X = S_x * \nu_x$. Equivalently, $\Sigma_x X$ is (isometrically) the iterated spherical suspension over ν_x . In particular, we can identify ν_x as the k -fold iterated space of directions. By [22, Corollary 1.4] we then understand that $\nu_x X$ is a topological sphere.

At this stage we can apply the slice theorem for compact isometric group actions on Alexandrov spaces from [20] to conclude that for $r > 0$ sufficiently small there exists a G -equivariant homeomorphism between $G \times C(\nu_x)$ and $B_r(G \cdot x)$, where the action of G on $G \times C(\nu_x)$ is by left multiplication on the first factor and $C(\nu_x)$ denotes the cone over the normal space ν_x . Hence $\pi(x) \in X/G$ has a neighborhood homeomorphic to $C(\nu_x)$. As we proved above that ν_x is a topological sphere, this shows that X/G is a topological manifold. \square

3 Proof of the main result

Our goal in this section is to prove [Theorem 1.1](#), which we restate for the ease of readability:

Theorem 1.1 *Let $(M_i^n, g_i) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} (X^k, d_X)$ satisfy $\text{Sec}_{g_i} \geq -1$ and $\text{diam}(M_i) \leq D$, and assume the M_i^n are all homeomorphic to tori T^n . Then X^k is homeomorphic to the k -dimensional torus T^k for some $0 \leq k \leq n$.*

Let us open by outlining the proof strategy. Consider the sequence of universal covers $(\tilde{M}_i, \tilde{g}_i, \tilde{p}_i)$, where $\tilde{p}_i \in \tilde{M}_i$ is any given reference point. We let $\Gamma_i = \pi_1(M_i)$ be the group of deck transformations acting by isometries on \tilde{M}_i , ie $\tilde{M}_i / \Gamma_i = M_i$. The proof of [Theorem 1.1](#) will be divided into four steps:

(1) We build subgroups $\Lambda_i < \Gamma_i$ with the property that \tilde{M}_i / Λ_i is homeomorphic to a torus with bounded diameter $\text{diam}(\tilde{M}_i / \Lambda_i) \leq 6^n D$, and is uniformly volume noncollapsing $\text{Vol}(\tilde{M}_i / \Lambda_i) \geq c(n, D)$.

(2) We apply Perelman's stability theorem to deduce that any limit of \tilde{M}_i / Λ_i is homeomorphic to a torus T^n . This will be used to show that $(\tilde{M}_i, \tilde{g}_i, \tilde{p}_i) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, d_{\tilde{X}}, \tilde{x})$ in the pGH topology, where $(\tilde{X}, d_{\tilde{X}})$ is an Alexandrov space with $\text{Sec} \geq -1$ which is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, the homeomorphism can be chosen to be equivariant with respect to suitable \mathbb{Z}^n actions.

(3) We study the equivariant pGH limit $(\tilde{M}_i, \tilde{p}_i, \Gamma_i) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}, \Gamma)$ and prove that $\Gamma \equiv \mathbb{Z}^k \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ for some $k \leq n$ with Γ acting freely. The key point consists in showing that Γ does not have compact subgroups, and will be achieved by means of [Theorem 2.5](#). We remark that we will endow Γ and all its subgroups with the compact-open topology, as is customary in this setting.

(4) In the last step, we rely on [Theorem 2.6](#) to see that (X, d) is a homotopy k -torus, and then conclude it is homeomorphic to T^k .

3.1 Step 1

Let us apply [Theorem 2.4](#) to (M_i^n, g_i) in order to find

$$(3-1) \quad \Lambda_i = \langle \gamma_1^i, \dots, \gamma_n^i \rangle$$

such that $\Lambda_i \equiv \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\Lambda_i < \Gamma_i$, $\text{diam}(\tilde{M}_i/\Lambda_i) \leq 6^n D$, and

$$(3-2) \quad A(n)^{-1} D \|\gamma\|_1 \leq d_{\tilde{M}_i}(x, \gamma(x)) \leq A(n) D \|\gamma\|_1 \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Lambda_i \text{ and } x \in \tilde{M}_i.$$

We claim that

- (a) $A(n)^{-1} D \leq d_{\tilde{M}_i^n}(\tilde{p}_i, \gamma_a^i(\tilde{p}_i)) \leq A(n) D$ for every $a = 1, \dots, n$,
- (b) $\text{Vol}(\tilde{M}_i/\Lambda_i) \geq c(n, D)$.

The first item immediately follows from (3-2) and the identity $\|\gamma_a^i\|_1 = 1$, which is simply from the definition of the ℓ^1 norm. To check (b), we observe that (3-2) implies

$$(3-3) \quad d_{\tilde{M}_i}(\tilde{p}_i, \gamma(\tilde{p}_i)) \geq A(n)^{-1} D \quad \text{for every } \gamma \in \Lambda_i \setminus \{0\}.$$

In particular, $\pi_i: \tilde{M}_i \rightarrow \tilde{M}_i/\Lambda_i$ restricts to an isometry on the ball $B_{A(n)^{-1} D/2}(\tilde{p}_i)$. By [Theorem 2.1](#) and Bishop–Gromov inequality, the balls $B_{A(n)^{-1} D/2}(\tilde{p}_i)$ are uniformly noncollapsed.

3.2 Step 2

The spaces $(\tilde{M}_i/\Lambda_i, \tilde{g}_i)$ have uniformly bounded diameters and they are volume noncollapsing. Hence, up to the extraction of a subsequence, we can assume that $(\tilde{M}_i, \tilde{g}_i, \tilde{p}_i, \Lambda_i) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, d_{\tilde{X}}, \tilde{x}, \Lambda)$ in the equivariant pGH topology, and $(\tilde{M}_i/\Lambda_i, \tilde{g}_i) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}/\Lambda, d_{\tilde{X}/\Lambda})$ in the GH topology, where $(\tilde{X}/\Lambda, d_{\tilde{X}/\Lambda})$ is an n -dimensional Alexandrov space with $\text{Sec} \geq -1$.

Recall now that our base assumption is that the M_i^n are homeomorphic to tori, and consequently \tilde{M}_i/Λ_i are homeomorphic to tori. We then have by Perelman’s stability theorem (see [\[29; 30\]](#) and the exposition in [\[24\]](#)), that there exists a homeomorphism $\varphi: T^n \rightarrow \tilde{X}/\Lambda$.

By (a) in [Section 3.1](#), it is elementary to check that $\Lambda \equiv \mathbb{Z}^n$, and it acts freely on \tilde{X} . In particular, $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}/\Lambda$ is a covering map with covering group \mathbb{Z}^n . On the other hand \tilde{X}/Λ is homeomorphic to T^n , and hence the Galois correspondence for covering spaces implies that \tilde{X} is the universal cover of \tilde{X}/Λ . Therefore we can lift the homeomorphism $\varphi: T^n \rightarrow \tilde{X}/\Lambda$ to an equivariant homeomorphism $\Phi: (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \Lambda)$, where \mathbb{Z}^n is the standard integer lattice on \mathbb{R}^n .

3.3 Step 3

Up to extracting another subsequence, we have the convergence $(\tilde{M}_i, \tilde{g}_i, \tilde{p}_i, \Gamma_i) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, d_{\tilde{X}}, \tilde{x}, \Gamma)$ in the equivariant pGH topology, where Γ is an abelian Lie group acting by isometries on \tilde{X} . We show that $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}^k \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$, and that Γ acts freely on \tilde{X} . We proceed in three steps.

Claim 3.1 *There are no nontrivial compact subgroups $\Gamma' < \Gamma$.*

Proof Let us take $\Gamma' < \Gamma$ to be a compact subgroup. Let $\Phi: (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \Lambda)$ be the equivariant homeomorphism obtained at the end of Step 2. We induce a Γ' action by homeomorphisms on \mathbb{R}^n via

$$(3-4) \quad \gamma' \cdot v := \Phi^{-1}(\gamma' \cdot \Phi(v)) \quad \text{for all } \gamma' \in \Gamma' \text{ and } v \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

By the equivariance of $\Phi: (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \Lambda)$ and the fact that Λ and Γ' commute, the induced Γ' action on \mathbb{R}^n commutes with the \mathbb{Z}^n action. Consequently its orbits are uniformly bounded with respect to any norm on \mathbb{R}^n . By [Theorem 2.5](#), the induced Γ' action is trivial and hence $\Gamma' = \{e\}$ as claimed. \square

Claim 3.2 *The group Γ acts freely on \tilde{X} .*

Proof Let $x \in \tilde{X}$ and consider the isotropy subgroup $\Gamma_x := \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma(x) = x\} < \Gamma$. It is immediate to check that Γ_x is compact (with respect to the compact–open topology). On the other hand, we have shown in [Claim 3.1](#) that Γ does not admit compact subgroups. \square

Claim 3.3 $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}^k \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ for some $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$.

Proof It is well known that abelian Lie groups split as

$$(3-5) \quad \Gamma \cong \Gamma / \Gamma_0 \oplus \Gamma_0,$$

where $\Gamma_0 < \Gamma$ is the connected component of the identity, and Γ / Γ_0 is discrete. Moreover, Γ_0 must be isomorphic to $T^a \oplus \mathbb{R}^b$, where a and b are nonnegative integers, as it is a connected abelian Lie group. However, using [Claim 3.1](#) we have that Γ has no compact subgroups, and hence $\Gamma_0 = \mathbb{R}^b$.

On the other hand let us consider the abelian group Γ / Γ_0 . Arguing as in [Claim 3.2](#) we deduce that Γ / Γ_0 acts discretely and freely on \tilde{X} / Γ_0 . Moreover, it is generated by isometries displacing any reference point less than $2D$. Consequently, Γ / Γ_0 is finitely generated, so that $\Gamma / \Gamma_0 = \mathbb{Z}^c \oplus \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}_{c_j}$. Using [Claim 3.1](#) we have that Γ / Γ_0 is torsion free, and hence $\Gamma / \Gamma_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}^c$.

Since $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}^c \oplus \mathbb{R}^b$ acts cocompactly and freely, we can extract a lattice $\Lambda' \cong \mathbb{Z}^c \oplus \mathbb{Z}^b < \mathbb{Z}^c \oplus \mathbb{R}^b \cong \Gamma$ acting cocompactly on $\tilde{X} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$. The quotient \tilde{X} / Λ' is a compact aspherical manifold with abelian fundamental group. By a classical argument, see for instance [\[38\]](#), it is homotopy equivalent to T^n . In particular $\mathbb{Z}^n = \pi_1(T^n) = \mathbb{Z}^c \oplus \mathbb{Z}^b$ and hence $c + b = n$. This finishes the proof of [Claim 3.3](#) and hence Step 3. \square

3.4 Step 4

We are now in position to conclude the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#).

Let $\Lambda' < \Gamma \equiv \mathbb{Z}^k \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ be the sublattice from the end of [Claim 3.3](#), so that $\Lambda' \equiv \mathbb{Z}^k \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{n-k}$ with $\Gamma/\Lambda' \equiv T^{n-k}$. As in [Claim 3.3](#) we know that \tilde{X}/Λ' is a homotopy torus, and additionally we know that \tilde{X}/Λ' is a topological manifold as $\tilde{X} \equiv \mathbb{R}^n$. By [\[21\]](#) for dimensions greater or equal than 5, [\[10\]](#) in dimension 4, the solution of the geometrization conjecture [\[31; 33; 32\]](#) in dimension 3, and the classification of surfaces in dimension 2, we have that² \tilde{X}/Λ' is homeomorphic to T^n .

Thus \tilde{X}/Λ' is homeomorphic to the torus T^n and there is an induced $\mathbb{R}^{n-k}/\Lambda' \equiv T^{n-k}$ action by isometries. The quotient space $(\tilde{X}/\Lambda')/T^{n-k}$ coincides with the limit space X .

By [Theorem 2.6](#), this T^{n-k} action is free and the projection to the quotient is a trivial principal T^{n-k} fibration. In particular, $X \times T^{n-k}$ is homeomorphic to $\tilde{X}/\Lambda' \equiv T^n$. Since \tilde{X}/Λ' is locally smoothable, X is itself a topological manifold by [Proposition 2.7](#).

As $X \times T^{n-k}$ is homeomorphic to T^n , we show that X is aspherical and $\pi_1(X) = \mathbb{Z}^k$:

$$(3-6) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \pi_j(T^n) = \pi_j(X \times T^{n-k}) = \pi_j(X) \oplus \pi_j(T^{n-k}) = \pi_j(X) \quad j \geq 2, \\ \mathbb{Z}^n &= \pi_1(T^n) = \pi_1(X \times T^{n-k}) = \pi_1(X) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{n-k} \implies \pi_1(X) = \mathbb{Z}^k. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by [\[38\]](#), X is a topological manifold which is homotopy equivalent to a k -torus. Arguing as before, we have by [\[21\]](#) for dimensions greater or equal than 5, [\[10\]](#) in dimension 4, the solution of the geometrization conjecture [\[31; 33; 32\]](#) in dimension 3, and the classification of surfaces in dimension 2, that X is homeomorphic to T^k .

3.5 Outline of the proof of [Theorem 1.3](#)

We can follow the same approach as in [Theorem 1.1](#) with two major modifications. More precisely, given a sequence of tori (M_i^3, g_i) with $\text{Ric}_{g_i} \geq -2$ and $\text{diam}(M_i) \leq D$, we first find intermediate noncollapsing coverings $(\tilde{M}_i/\Lambda_i, \tilde{g}_i)$ as in Step 1 of the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#). Notice that the tools required for the selection of these covers, namely [Theorems 2.1](#) and [2.4](#), do not depend on the lower sectional curvature bound.

In Step 2 of the proof, we replace Perelman's stability theorem with [\[37, Theorem 1.7\]](#). This allows us to conclude that the limit $(\tilde{M}_i, \tilde{g}_i, \tilde{p}_i, \Lambda_i) \xrightarrow{\text{PGH}} (\tilde{X}, d_{\tilde{X}}, \tilde{x}, \Lambda)$ is equivariantly homeomorphic to $(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{Z}^3)$.

Step 3 does not require any change with respect to the case of lower sectional curvature bounds.

In Step 4, in order to infer that the quotient of a topological T^3 with respect to a free torus action by homeomorphisms is a topological manifold, we can rely on [\[1\]](#) (see also [\[34\]](#)). The rest of the argument does not require any modification.

²We could avoid this heavy use of machinery by instead letting Λ' be a refinement of Λ from Step 2, where we have already deduced that \tilde{X}/Λ is a torus. However, this argument appears again at the end of the proof, and the heavy machinery is not avoidable there.

3.6 Stability of tori in the Alexandrov setting

In this section, we consider $(M_i^n, d_i) \rightarrow (X^k, d_X)$, where (M_i^n, d_i) are n -dimensional Alexandrov spaces with $\text{curv} \geq -1$ and $\text{diam}(M_i) \leq D$.

As anticipated in the introduction, if the M_i^n are all homeomorphic to tori T^n , then X^k is homotopy equivalent to T^k . This follows from the fact that $X^k \times T^{n-k}$ is homeomorphic to T^n , as a consequence of our proof of [Theorem 1.1](#), and that X is a CW-complex.

3.6.1 Failure of the topological stability We discuss an example of a sequence $(T^5, d_i) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} (Y^4, d)$ of Alexandrov spaces (T^5, d_i) homeomorphic to the torus T^5 and converging under a uniform lower curvature bound to an Alexandrov space (Y^4, d) which is not homeomorphic to T^4 . The example was indicated to the authors by Kapovitch. Its effect is to show that [Theorem 1.1](#) does not generalize to Alexandrov spaces.

The main idea for the construction is borrowed from [\[22\]](#); see in particular Example 1.5, Corollary 1.6, and its proof therein.

Let Σ^3 be the Poincaré homology sphere with the metric of constant curvature 1. Recall that it can be constructed as a quotient of S^3 endowed with its standard metric of constant curvature 1 with respect to a free isometric action of the icosahedral group.

The spherical suspension $S^1\Sigma^3$ over Σ^3 is a 4-dimensional Alexandrov space with $\text{curv} \geq 1$ which is homotopy equivalent to S^4 . However, it is not homeomorphic to S^4 . Indeed, it is not a topological manifold as the two suspension points are not manifold points.

The distance on $S^1\Sigma^3$ is induced by a smooth Riemannian metric with $\text{curv} \geq 1$ away from the two suspension points. In particular, we can fix a nonsingular point $x \in S^1\Sigma^3$ and find a small neighborhood $U \ni x$ isometric to a smooth Riemannian manifold. Then we can perform the connected sum $S^1\Sigma^3 \# T^4$ on U with a distance d so that $(S^1\Sigma^3 \# T^4, d)$ has curvature bounded below by some $K \leq 0$ in the Alexandrov sense and such that $S^1\Sigma^3 \setminus U$ embeds isometrically in $S^1\Sigma^3 \# T^4$.

Notice that $S^1\Sigma^3 \# T^4$ is homotopy equivalent to T^4 . However, it is not homeomorphic to T^4 . Indeed, it is not a topological manifold. On the other hand, we claim that $(S^1\Sigma^3 \# T^4) \times T^1$ is homeomorphic to T^5 .

In order to establish the claim, let us first notice that $(S^1\Sigma^3 \# T^4) \times T^1$ is homotopy equivalent to T^5 , because $S^1\Sigma^3 \# T^4$ is homotopy equivalent to T^4 , as remarked above. Also note that the product metric on $(S^1\Sigma^3 \# T^4) \times T_\epsilon^1$ has curvature bounded from below by K for any $\epsilon > 0$. Now let us first see that $(S^1\Sigma^3 \# T^4) \times T_\epsilon^1$ is a topological manifold. At any (metrically) singular point $y \in (S^1\Sigma^3 \# T^4) \times T_\epsilon^1$, the tangent cone is isometric to $\mathbb{R} \times C(\Sigma^3) = C(S^1\Sigma^3)$. By Edwards' double suspension theorem (see [\[9\]](#)), $C(S^1\Sigma^3)$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^5 . Hence, by Perelman's conical neighborhood theorem, the metrically singular points of $(S^1\Sigma^3 \# T^4) \times T_\epsilon^1$ are also manifold points. Therefore $(S^1\Sigma^3 \# T^4) \times T^1$ is a topological manifold which is homotopy equivalent to T^5 . Hence by [\[21\]](#), it is homeomorphic to T^5 .

The sequence $((S^1\Sigma^3 \# T^4) \times T_{1/i}^1, d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, where d_i is the natural product distance, collapses to $((S^1\Sigma^3 \# T^4), d)$ with curvature bounded from below by $K \leq 0$ and diameter bounded from above. However, $(S^1\Sigma^3 \# T^4) \times T^1$ is homeomorphic to T^5 , while $S^1\Sigma^3 \# T^4$ is not homeomorphic to T^4 .

3.6.2 Stability in dimension $n \leq 4$ We remark that $n = 5$ is the lowest possible dimension for a counterexample to the full torus stability theorem ([Theorem 1.1](#)) in the Alexandrov case. We discuss here only the case $n = 4$ and $k = 3$. The other cases can be treated with some (simpler) variants of the argument below.

If $(T^4, d_i) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} (X^3, d_X)$ are Alexandrov tori converging under a uniform lower curvature bound and a uniform upper diameter bound, borrowing the notation from the proof of the main theorem, we can find a noncollapsed limit \tilde{X}^4/Λ' of intermediate coverings of (T^4, d_i) such that $X^3 = (\tilde{X}^4/\Lambda')/T^1$, with T^1 acting freely and by isometries.

The argument in the proof of [Proposition 2.7](#) shows that all tangent cones of \tilde{X}^4 split a factor \mathbb{R} isometrically. Moreover, \tilde{X}^4/Λ' is homeomorphic to T^4 by Perelman's stability theorem. This is sufficient to show that any tangent cone of \tilde{X}^4 is isometric to $\mathbb{R} \times C(S^2)$, for some Alexandrov metric with curvature ≥ 1 on S^2 . Indeed, the only other option would be that the tangent cone is isometric to $\mathbb{R} \times C(\mathbb{R}P^2)$ for some Alexandrov metric with curvature ≥ 1 on $\mathbb{R}P^2$. However, this would be in contradiction with the topological regularity of \tilde{X}^4 by Perelman's conical neighborhood theorem.

Following again the argument in the proof of [Proposition 2.7](#), the tangent cones of (X^3, d_X) are all homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^3 . Hence, by Perelman's conical neighborhood theorem again, X^3 is a topological manifold. Combined with the fact that it is a homotopy 3-torus, this is sufficient to show that X^3 is homeomorphic to T^3 .

References

- [1] **G E Bredon**, *Some theorems on transformation groups*, Ann. of Math. 67 (1958) 104–118 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [2] **G E Bredon**, *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, Pure Appl. Math. 46, Academic, New York (1972) [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [3] **J Cheeger, K Fukaya, M Gromov**, *Nilpotent structures and invariant metrics on collapsed manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1992) 327–372 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [4] **J Cheeger, M Gromov**, *Collapsing Riemannian manifolds while keeping their curvature bounded, I*, J. Differential Geom. 23 (1986) 309–346 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [5] **J Cheeger, M Gromov**, *Collapsing Riemannian manifolds while keeping their curvature bounded, II*, J. Differential Geom. 32 (1990) 269–298 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [6] **T H Colding**, *Ricci curvature and volume convergence*, Ann. of Math. 145 (1997) 477–501 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [7] **P E Conner, D Montgomery**, *Transformation groups on a $K(\pi, 1)$, I*, Michigan Math. J. 6 (1959) 405–412 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [8] **P E Conner, F Raymond**, *Actions of compact Lie groups on aspherical manifolds*, from “Topology of manifolds” (J C Cantrell, C H Edwards, Jr, editors), Markham, Chicago, IL (1970) 227–264 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [9] **R J Daverman**, *Decompositions of manifolds*, Pure Appl. Math. 124, Academic, Orlando, FL (1986) [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [10] **M H Freedman, F Quinn**, *Topology of 4–manifolds*, Princeton Math. Ser. 39, Princeton Univ. Press (1990) [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [11] **K Fukaya**, *Collapsing Riemannian manifolds to ones of lower dimensions*, J. Differential Geom. 25 (1987) 139–156 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [12] **K Fukaya**, *A boundary of the set of the Riemannian manifolds with bounded curvatures and diameters*, J. Differential Geom. 28 (1988) 1–21 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [13] **K Fukaya**, *A compactness theorem of a set of aspherical Riemannian orbifolds*, from “A fête of topology” (Y Matsumoto, T Mizutani, S Morita, editors), Academic, Boston, MA (1988) 391–413 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [14] **K Fukaya**, *Collapsing Riemannian manifolds to ones with lower dimension, II*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 41 (1989) 333–356 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [15] **F Galaz-Garcia, C Searle**, *Cohomogeneity one Alexandrov spaces*, Transform. Groups 16 (2011) 91–107 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [16] **M Gromov**, *Almost flat manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. 13 (1978) 231–241 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [17] **M Gromov**, *Filling Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. 18 (1983) 1–147 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [18] **M Gromov, H B Lawson, Jr**, *Spin and scalar curvature in the presence of a fundamental group, I*, Ann. of Math. 111 (1980) 209–230 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [19] **L Guth**, *Volumes of balls in large Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. 173 (2011) 51–76 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [20] **J Harvey, C Searle**, *Orientation and symmetries of Alexandrov spaces with applications in positive curvature*, J. Geom. Anal. 27 (2017) 1636–1666 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [21] **W-c Hsiang, C T C Wall**, *On homotopy tori, II*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 1 (1969) 341–342 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [22] **V Kapovitch**, *Regularity of limits of noncollapsing sequences of manifolds*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 12 (2002) 121–137 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [23] **V Kapovitch**, *Restrictions on collapsing with a lower sectional curvature bound*, Math. Z. 249 (2005) 519–539 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [24] **V Kapovitch**, *Perelman’s stability theorem*, from “Surveys in differential geometry, XI: Metric and comparison geometry” (J Cheeger, K Grove, editors), International, Somerville, MA (2007) 103–136 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [25] **M G Katz**, *Torus cannot collapse to a segment*, J. Geom. 111 (2020) art.id. 13 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [26] **B Kloeckner, S Sabourau**, *Mixed sectional–Ricci curvature obstructions on tori*, J. Topol. Anal. 12 (2020) 713–734 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [27] **N Lebedeva, A Petrunin**, *Curvature tensor of smoothable Alexandrov spaces*, Geom. Topol. 28 (2024) 3869–3907
- [28] **K Mahler**, *On lattice points in n –dimensional star bodies, I: Existence theorems*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. Ser. A 187 (1946) 151–187 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [29] **G Y Perelman**, *Alexandrov spaces with curvatures bounded from below, II*, preprint (1991)

- [30] **G Y Perelman**, *Elements of Morse theory on Aleksandrov spaces*, Algebra i Analiz 5 (1993) 232–241 [MR](#) [Zbl](#) In Russian; translated in St. Petersburg Math. J. 5 (1994) 205–213
- [31] **G Perelman**, *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, preprint (2002) [arXiv math/0211159](#)
- [32] **G Perelman**, *Finite extinction time for the solutions to the Ricci flow on certain three-manifolds*, preprint (2003) [arXiv math/0307245](#)
- [33] **G Perelman**, *Ricci flow with surgery on three-manifolds*, preprint (2003) [arXiv math/0303109](#)
- [34] **F Raymond**, *Classification of the actions of the circle on 3-manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (1968) 51–78 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [35] **R Schoen, S T Yau**, *On the structure of manifolds with positive scalar curvature*, Manuscripta Math. 28 (1979) 159–183 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [36] **T Shioya, T Yamaguchi**, *Collapsing three-manifolds under a lower curvature bound*, J. Differential Geom. 56 (2000) 1–66 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [37] **M Simon**, *Ricci flow of non-collapsed three manifolds whose Ricci curvature is bounded from below*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 662 (2012) 59–94 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [38] **G W Whitehead**, *Elements of homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Math. 61, Springer (1978) [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [39] **T Yamaguchi**, *Collapsing and pinching under a lower curvature bound*, Ann. of Math. 133 (1991) 317–357 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [40] **S Zamora**, *Tori can't collapse to an interval*, Electron. Res. Arch. 29 (2021) 2637–2644 [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [41] **S Zamora**, *First Betti number and collapse*, preprint (2022) [arXiv 2209.12628](#)

Università Bocconi
Milan, Italy

Northwestern University
Evanston, IL, United States

ETH
Zurich, Switzerland

elia.brue@unibocconi.it, anaber@ias.edu, daniele.semola.math@gmail.com

Proposed: Leonid Polterovich
Seconded: Dmitri Burago, Gang Tian

Received: 12 July 2023
Revised: 25 October 2023

GEOMETRY & TOPOLOGY

msp.org/gt

MANAGING EDITOR

András I Stipsicz Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics
stipsicz@renyi.hu

BOARD OF EDITORS

Mohammed Abouzaid	Stanford University abouzaid@stanford.edu	Mark Gross	University of Cambridge mgross@dpms.cam.ac.uk
Dan Abramovich	Brown University dan_abramovich@brown.edu	Rob Kirby	University of California, Berkeley kirby@math.berkeley.edu
Ian Agol	University of California, Berkeley ianagol@math.berkeley.edu	Bruce Kleiner	NYU, Courant Institute bkleiner@cims.nyu.edu
Arend Bayer	University of Edinburgh arend.bayer@ed.ac.uk	Sándor Kovács	University of Washington skovacs@uw.edu
Mark Behrens	University of Notre Dame mbehrens1@nd.edu	Urs Lang	ETH Zürich urs.lang@math.ethz.ch
Mladen Bestvina	University of Utah bestvina@math.utah.edu	Marc Levine	Universität Duisburg-Essen marc.levine@uni-due.de
Martin R Bridson	University of Oxford bridson@maths.ox.ac.uk	Ciprian Manolescu	University of California, Los Angeles cm@math.ucla.edu
Jim Bryan	University of British Columbia jbryan@math.ubc.ca	Haynes Miller	Massachusetts Institute of Technology hmr@math.mit.edu
Dmitri Burago	Pennsylvania State University burago@math.psu.edu	Tomasz Mrowka	Massachusetts Institute of Technology mrowka@math.mit.edu
Tobias H Colding	Massachusetts Institute of Technology colding@math.mit.edu	Aaron Naber	Northwestern University anaber@math.northwestern.edu
Simon Donaldson	Imperial College, London s.donaldson@ic.ac.uk	Peter Ozsváth	Princeton University petero@math.princeton.edu
Yasha Eliashberg	Stanford University eliash-gt@math.stanford.edu	Leonid Polterovich	Tel Aviv University polterov@post.tau.ac.il
Benson Farb	University of Chicago farb@math.uchicago.edu	Colin Rourke	University of Warwick gt@maths.warwick.ac.uk
David M Fisher	Rice University davidfisher@rice.edu	Roman Sauer	Karlsruhe Institute of Technology roman.sauer@kit.edu
Mike Freedman	Microsoft Research michaelf@microsoft.com	Stefan Schwede	Universität Bonn schwede@math.uni-bonn.de
David Gabai	Princeton University gabai@princeton.edu	Natasa Sesum	Rutgers University natasas@math.rutgers.edu
Stavros Garoufalidis	Southern U. of Sci. and Tech., China stavros@mpim-bonn.mpg.de	Gang Tian	Massachusetts Institute of Technology tian@math.mit.edu
Cameron Gordon	University of Texas gordon@math.utexas.edu	Ulrike Tillmann	Oxford University tillmann@maths.ox.ac.uk
Jesper Grodal	University of Copenhagen jg@math.ku.dk	Nathalie Wahl	University of Copenhagen wahl@math.ku.dk
Misha Gromov	IHÉS and NYU, Courant Institute gromov@ihes.fr	Anna Wienhard	Universität Heidelberg wienhard@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de

See inside back cover or msp.org/gt for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2024 is US \$805/year for the electronic version, and \$1135/year (+\$70, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP. Geometry & Topology is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

Geometry & Topology (ISSN 1465-3060 printed, 1364-0380 electronic) is published 9 times per year and continuously online, by Mathematical Sciences Publishers, c/o Department of Mathematics, University of California, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840. Periodical rate postage paid at Oakland, CA 94615-9651, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, c/o Department of Mathematics, University of California, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840.

GT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing
<http://msp.org/>

© 2024 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

GEOMETRY & TOPOLOGY

Volume 28 Issue 8 (pages 3511–3972) 2024

Chromatic cyclotomic extensions	3511
SHACHAR CARMELI, TOMER M SCHLANK and LIOR YANOVSKI	
Weak del Pezzo surfaces with global vector fields	3565
GEBHARD MARTIN and CLAUDIA STADLMAYR	
The string coproduct “knows” Reidemeister/Whitehead torsion	3643
FLORIAN NAEF	
Reeb flows transverse to foliations	3661
JONATHAN ZUNG	
Monopoles, twisted integral homology, and Hirsch algebras	3697
FRANCESCO LIN and MIKE MILLER EISMEIER	
Holomorphic anomaly equations for the Hilbert scheme of points of a K3 surface	3779
GEORG OBERDIECK	
Curvature tensor of smoothable Alexandrov spaces	3869
NINA LEBEDEVA and ANTON PETRUNIN	
On boundedness of singularities and minimal log discrepancies of Kollár components, II	3909
ZIQUAN ZHUANG	
Lee filtration structure of torus links	3935
QIUYU REN	
Stability of tori under lower sectional curvature	3961
ELIA BRUÈ, AARON NABER and DANIELE SEMOLA	